

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

LA SHAUN MAUQUIS YOUNG, et al.,

Case No.: 2:21-cv-01710-APG-DJA

Plaintiffs

**Order**

v.

[ECF Nos. 1, 3, 4]

MARK ZUCKERBERG, et al.,

Defendants

On October 20, 2021, Magistrate Judge Albregts recommended that I dismiss plaintiff La Shaun Young’s<sup>1</sup> complaint with prejudice. ECF No. 3. Young did not object. Thus, I am not obligated to conduct a de novo review of the report and recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring district courts to “make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings to which objection is made”); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (“the district judge must review the magistrate judge’s findings and recommendations de novo *if objection is made*, but not otherwise” (emphasis in original)).

I THEREFORE ORDER that Magistrate Judge Albregts’ report and recommendation (ECF No. 3) is **accepted** and plaintiff La Shaun Young’s complaint (ECF No. 1-1) is **dismissed with prejudice**.

<sup>1</sup> Young purported to bring suit on behalf of himself, Neisha Walker, and Loretta Young in the caption, but identified only himself as a plaintiff in the body of the complaint. ECF No. 1-1 at 1. Elsewhere in the complaint, he listed Theology of Time, Inc. as a plaintiff while identifying the parties’ citizenship for purposes of diversity jurisdiction. *Id.* at 3. However, only Young signed the complaint. *Id.* at 5. Because a pro se litigant like Young can represent only himself, he is the only proper plaintiff in this action. See *D-Beam Ltd. P’ship v. Roller Derby Skates, Inc.*, 366 F.3d 972, 973 (9th Cir. 2004); *C.E. Pope Equity Trust v. United States*, 818 F.2d 696, 697 (9th Cir. 1987). Even if the other plaintiffs were proper parties to this case, none objected to Judge Albregts’ report and recommendation.

1 I FURTHER ORDER that the pending applications for leave to proceed in forma  
2 pauperis (**ECF Nos. 1, 4**) are denied as moot.

3 I FURTHER ORDER the clerk of court to enter judgment accordingly and to close this  
4 case.

5 DATED this 9th day of November, 2021.

6  
7 

8 

---

ANDREW P. GORDON  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23